

Appendix I

Information Collected from Form 301 for the Logit Models

Ġe	neral Hearing Information						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Docket number Number of Applications Community Channel Frequency Service (select one):	M 🗆]	FM □	TV			
АF	PLICATION (form 301) INFO	RMATION	T - 1.		e programme		
1. 2. 3. 4.	r each Application: Name of Applying Organizat Number of Parties Date Application Filed Winner	ion:					
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Party Name Party number Position Ownership (percentage of ov Voting (percent of control) Gender (pick one) Male Minority status: Black	vnership) □ Female Io	□ Asian	□ Ameri	can Indian	/Eskimo	



Comparative Hearing Data Collection FormFor Disparity Ratio, Utilization Ratio Calculations

KPMG LLP: Economic Consulting Services: 2001 M St., NW Washington, DC 20036-3389

SECTION 1: APPLYING ORGANIZATION

1.	Check all that apply:	□ Winner	□ Minority	□Female
		□ Applicat	ion Found	☐ No Application found
		□ Financia	l Data	☐ No Financial Data
2.	Docket number:			
3.	Location Number:			
4.	Application number:			
5.	Number of applicants in this	hearing:		
6.	Service (select one):	AM □F	M 🔲 TV (i	JHF) 🗆 TV (VHF)
7.	Community Served			
8.	Channel and Frequency			
9.	Is this application for a new	construction	permit? 🛚 Ye	s □ No (specify)
10). Name of Applying Organiza	ition:		
4.	I. Nhav of parties to this st	nlication		
	Number of parties to this ap			
12	2. Date application filed:			



Notes:

SECTION 2: PARTIES TO THE APPLICATION (Use Separate Form for Each Party)

Contact Informa	tion 🔻 📜 🗓			
14.Party	of	_		
15. Name of par	ty:			
16. Position Held	d:			,
17. Final Owners 18. (held) (subs	-		Percent Stock Held:	
19. Voting Stock	<u> </u>	Percent	Stock Held:	
20. Gender:	□ Male	□ Female	☐ Claimed Female Status	
21.Race:	☐ Minority	□ Non-minority		
Check one:	□ Black	☐ Hispanic	□ American Indian / Eskimo	
	□ Asian	☐ Caucasian	☐ Other (specify)	
	□ Claimed	Minority		



Comparative Hearing Data Collection Form for Logit Model

This form is to be completed in connection with a data collection effort for a study of broadcast licensing. Data will be extracted from comparative hearing files. A participant in the comparative hearing must have filled out an Application for Construction Permit (Form 301) to initiate the process. This application is filled out by an organization or group, and for purposes of this form will be referred to here as the applying "group" or the "Applicant." Information that pertains to the applying organization will be entered in Section 1 of this form. The individuals or constituents who are collectively the applying group, are referred to here as "Parties" or Parties to the application." Information specific to each party should be entered in Section 2 of this form. Because there are generally multiple parties to an application and this number varies, multiple copies of Section 2 should be used (one for each party).

KPMG LLP: Economic Consulting Services: 2001 M St., NW
Washington, DC 20036-3389



Legal Qualifications

1) Type of Organization:

Individual Corporation Not for Profit General Partnership Limited Liability Partnership For Profit

2)	Are all parties to this application citizens of the United States of America.	□ Yes	□ No
	a) Is any party to this application a representative of an alien or foreign government?	□Yes	□ No
	b) Is more than 20% of the capital stock of this application owned by a foreign government?	□ Yes	□ No
	c) Is any controlling corporation more than 25% owned by a foreign entity?	□ Yes	□ No
3)			
	a) Has applicant or any party to this application had a station license revoked by order or decree of any Federal Court?	□ Yes	□ No
	b) Has applicant or any party to this application been found guilty by a federal court of the violation of the laws of the United States relating to unlawful restraints and monopolies and to combinations, contracts, or agreements in restraint of trade?	□ Yes	□No
	c) Has the applicant or any party to this application been finally ajudged guilty by a federal court of unlawfull monopolizing or attempting unlawfully to monopolize radio communications, directly or indirectly, through the control of the manufacture or sale of radio apparatus, though exclusive traffic arrangements, or by any other means, or to have been using unfair methods of competition?	□Yes	□ No
	d) Has the applicant or any party to this application been found guilty by any court of (1) any felony or any other crime, (2) the violation of any state, territorial or local law relating to unlawful lotteries, restraints and monopolies and combinations, contracts or agreements in the restraint of trade, or (3) using unfair methods of competition?	□ Yes	□ No
	e) Is ther now pending in any court or administrative body against the applican or party to this application in any action involving any of the matters referred to in Paragraphs 8a, b, c, and d above?	□ Yes	□ No
	f) Have voluntary proceedings in bankruptcy been instituted by, or have involuntary proceedings in bankruptcy ever been brought against applicant or any party to this application?	□ Yes	□ No
	a) Are ther outstanding any unsatisfied judgements of decrees	□ Yes	□ No



	·		
against applicant or any par	ty to this application?	Į.	
against applicant or any par	ly to this application:		

4)

Stock of Corporation							
a) Class of stock (a)	b) Par value	c) Vote per share	d) Number of authorized shares	e) No of shares issued	f) No of shares subscribed	g) Total number of stockholder s	
proxy?	5) At the last meting, were any of the shares of stock voted by □ Yes □ No proxy?						
If so, state	b) Por	a) Vote per	d) Number	e) No of	f) No of	g) Total	
6) a) Class of stock	b) Par value	c) Vote per share	of authorized shares	shares issued	shares subscribed	number of stockholder s	

Other Broadcast Interests						
17) Does applicant or a	·					
applicant or any shuch	party had, any interest i	s, connection with, the				
following:						
a) Any standard, FM, o	r Television broadcast s	tations?	□ Yes	□ No		
	ding before the Commis		☐ Yes	□ No		
	h was beed denied by t		☐ Yes	□ No		
	n the license of which h		☐ Yes	□ No		
If yes to any of the abo		-				
Name of Party having such interest	Nature of interest or connection	Call letters of station or file number of application	Location			



			·····			
or indirectly, by any pe	19) Is the applicant or any party to this application controlled, directly or indirectly, by any person who has interest in or connestion with any broadcast stations or applications of the type referred to in the					
Are any of the parties t	to this application realted mother, brother, sister, s		□ Yes	□ No		
Does any member of the mother, brother, sister, have any interest in or pending application?	□ Yes	□ No				
	<u> </u>		l			

For any Appendicies, List Other Broadcast / Media Interests

1. Number of pending applications:

Party	Call Sign or file number	Service	Ownership Share or nature of interest	Location	Will this party divest
					+
	 				



Financial Information

USE TABLES Financial Information Early period I & II only for applications dated before 1982 Estimated Costs

Financial Information Early period I Antenna system (fg1) RF Generating Equipment: (fg2) Monitoring and Test Equipmenty (fg3) Program Origination Equipment: (fq4) Acquiring Land (fq5) Acquiring, Remodeling or constructing buildings: (fq6) Other Items: Legal Costs(fq7a) Engineering Costs(fq7b): Installation Costs(fg7c): Other Misc(fq7d): Total Other Items: (fq8) Total Construction Costs: (fg9) Add Estimated Cost of Operation for First Year(fq10_ Total First year Cost to be met by the Applicant (fg11) Estimated revenues for the first year: (fg12)



Financial Informat	ion Early period II					
Existing Capital: (fq13)				\$	
New Capital (fq14	\$					
Loans From Bank (less repayments during first year) (
Net Total Availabl	e from Loans(fq17	7)			\$	
Profits from Existi	ng Operations: (fo	118)			\$	
Donations: (fq19)				;	\$	
Other Sourses: (fe	q20)				\$	
Deferred Credit fr (a) Less: Down P (b) Less: 1st Year (c) Less: 1st year						
Net Deferred Cre	dit Available(fq25)			\$		
Net Total Availab	le(fq26)				\$	
Amount of fund o	n deposit in a ban	k or other depository	r: (fq27)	\$		
For attached balance sheets, fill out for all included :						
Party or Entity Total Assets Total Liabilities Total Equity Total Liabilities Total Equity and Capi						
			-			



Use the following two tables for application dated after 1982

Financial Information	on for Late Period I						
The applicant certif	ies that sufficient n	et liquid asse	ts are on hand				
or that sufficient fur	sources to	□ Ye	s l	□ No			
	construct and operate the requested facilities for three months						
without revenues. (
State the total fund	s you estimate are	necessary to	construct and				
operate the request	ted facilities for thre	ee month with	out	\$			
revenues.(fq1-2)							
Identify each source	e or funds and the	amount of fur	nds from each				
source,							
Financial Information							
 Source of funds 	•	ame	ount funded		Debt		
				□Yes	□ No		
				12.100			
				□ Yes	□ No		
				□ Yes	□ No		
				□ Yes	□ No		
				□Yes			
				103			
		į		□ Yes	□ No		
				□ Yes	□ No		
(If a source of fu	nds is also a party	to the applica	tion, include th	is informat	ion in s	ection II	
(11 & 300100 01 10	nas is also a party	also)	idon, inologo an				
		aloo,					
Integration Statem	ent						
magration otatom							
Name of Party	Minority	Residency	Female	Broadca	Dayti	Full	
ramo or rarry	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	years		st years	me	Time	
		youro		- · · · · ·		Part	
						Time	
	□ Yes □ No	····	□ Yes □				
			No				
	□ Yes □ No		□ Yes □	 	 	<u> </u>	
	_ 103 _ 140		No				
	□ Yes □ No		□ Yes □	 	 		
	L 103 L 140		No				



	□ Yes □ No	□ Yes □ No	
	□ Yes □ No	□ Yes □	
	□ Yes □ No	No □ Yes □	
		No	
Trial Information			
tin ender versione dat 1. Lead to March Secretarian de traver d'établisher en l'Ambiert de Laur (1959 et 1920 e	and in a many time in a fact to the control of the	amount paid \$_	ender (mangel Englisher dieber) in der der verber in der der verber der verber der der verber in der verber in
_		the construction permit?	
_			
•	n 2, what was the outcom	• •	
☐ Dismissed settlement	☐ Dismissed with prejud	ce ☐ Denied ☐ Settled	□.Withdrawal w/o
4. How many mu	tually exclusive applicants	were assigned to this com	nparative hearing? -
5. Who was the p	residing judge in the trial?		
		· .	
			,
	A SOURCE SPREEZE LAG		
ATTORNEY INFO	RMATION		
10. Did an attorne	y represent this organizat	on in the proceedings? □₀	Yes □, No
11. If yes, provide	the following information	about the attorney:	
Name of Attor	ney		
	·		
Name of Firm			

12. Total number of motions filed by the attorney on behalf of applicant:



Motion	Number	Granted	Granted in Pari	Denied
Notice of Appearance				
Proof of Service				
Proof of Publication				
Continuance				·
Motion in Opposition				
Petition for leave to Amend				
Motion for Summary Decision				
Comments in Support of Motion				
Request for Approval of				{ [
Agreement				
Motion to Enlarge issues				
Request for removal of conflict				
Motion for leave to file a reply				
Finding of Fact and Conclusion of				ļ .
Law				
Other (Specify)			<u> </u>	
Interrogatories				
Amendment				
Dismissal of Application			<u> </u>	ļ. <u>-</u>
Motion for Production of				
Documents			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Motion for Suspension of Hearing				<u> </u>
Reply			<u> </u>	-
Motion for Protective Order				
Other		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

SETTLEMENT INFORMATION

This information will not be found in the application. Places to look.

- History cards will contain information on when a settlement happened. Look for documents entitled "(joint) request for approval of settlement agreement" or "(joint) petition for settlement agreement" or simply settlement agreement. Find the associated date and look in the docket for that date.
- If no history card is available, look for motions that include less that the initial number of applicants in the heading. If you find an applicant missing, you know that someone has dropped out.

	his applicant excluded itself from the hearing as part of a settlement, Which party paid the settlement?
2.	How much was paid?



3.	3. How much of this settlement was Lawyers Fees?						
4.	4. List all of the parties that this applicant paid to withdraw.						
-50 20 300							
Ар	plicant that settled	Hown	nuch received	Lawyers fees		Date settled	
lf s	settlement include	ed other co	onsiderations des	cribe the agree	ment bel	low	
Te	chnical Informati	on .					
of	ormation on tech the application fo ormation on the	orm 301. T	The questions be	located in the E low will, in most	ingineeri cases, f	ng Data (section V b) ollow the order of the	
1.	Principle comm	unity to be	e served:				
2.	2. Class of license:						
3.	3. Elevation of the antenna above average terrain: meters / feet (FM, T.V.)						
4.	4. Power of signal:Kw						
5.	5. Geographical size of proposed area:sq./mi.						
6.	Population cove	erage:		·			
7.	Number of other	er stations	that serve the pro	oposed area:			
	Radio:	AM:	FM:				
	T\/.	Totals					



SECTION 2: PARTIES TO THE APPLICATION

(Use Separate Form for Each Party)

Interest in Application
Management Integration and Public Service Information (Integration Statement)
1. Personal participation: ☐ Yes ☐ No
2. If yes, will this party work □ Full Time □ Part Time ?
3. Proposed position:
4. Years of previous broadcast experience: years
5. Years of local residency: years
6. Unresolved negative character issues: ☐ Yes ☐ No
Race and Gender Information



Financial Information

1.	Amount contributed to the construction cost of the station: \$
2.	Value of the total assets of this party: \$
3.	Value of liabilities: \$
4.	Value of equity: \$
5.	Value of Assets and liabilities: \$



Position	Ownership	Voting			Amount Contributed to construction	Total Assets	Total Liabil- ities	Total Equity	Assets and Liabilities	Female	Minority
	Position	Position Ownership	Position Ownership Voting	Part Time Broad cast	Part Time Broad Resident cast	Part Time Broad Resident Contributed to cast construction	Part Time Broad Resident Contributed to Assets cast construction	Part Time Broad Resident Contributed to Assets Liabil-cast construction ities	Part Time Broad Resident Contributed to Assets Liabil- Equity cast construction ities	Part Time Broad Resident Contributed to Assets Liabil- Equity and cast construction ities Liabilities	Part Time Broad Resident Contributed to Assets Liabil- Equity and cast construction Liabilities

Appendix II

Data Definitions and Summary Statistics for Comparative Hearings and Singletons

aimb	Interaction term for assets and minority count greater than one.	
aimpctb	Interaction term for assets and minority percentage	
apassets	Sum of all assets reported by an applicant (available only in the 1978-1981 period) Deflated by CPI in regressions	Financial Information
apeqcap	Sum of all equity plus capital reported by an applicant (available only in the 1978-1981 period)	Financial Information
apequity	Sum of all equity reported by an applicant (available only in the 1978-1981 period) Deflated by CPI	Financial Information
apliabil	Sum of all liabilities reported by an applicant (available only in the 1978-1981 period) Deflated by CPI in regressions	Financial Information
attnyexp	Sum of the instances that a particular applicant's attorney appears in the sample.	
cntpty	Number of persons who are party to an application	Section II
Corp (Dummy)	Indicates incorporated applications.	
ExperM	Measure of Experience: (Years of broadcast experience)x(10 if full time or 5 if part time) (summed across all parties to application)	Section II
Femb	Indicates applications with one or more	
(Dummy)	female participants.	
Fempetb	Percentage female parties in application	Section II
Femcnt Fempcto	Number of female parties to an application Percent of applying organization owned by females.	Section II
Femmajo	Application more than 50% female owned	Calculated
fq12	Estimated first year revenues	Financial Information
height	Average height of antenna above average terrain.	Technical information

	Median household income for the principle community served. Income and population figures used in connection with the logistic regressions were taken from the US Census Bureau products CPH-L-125, CPH-L-126, and CPH-L-127, CPH-L-128, CPH-L-129 which are "Income Summary Measures" for places in the United States (1989). These data were merged with the data collected by KPMG using the "Primary Community Served" field in the KPMG data and the "Place Name" field in the Census data. Deflated by CPI in regressions	1989 census tables
integM	Measure of Management / Station Integration: equals 10 if full time or 5 if part time (summed across all parties who will work at station)	Section II
Limb	Interaction variable for liabilities and applications with at least one minority party.	
Limpctb	Interaction variable for liabilities and applications with minority percentage.	
Minb	Indicates application with at least one minority	
(Dummy)	party.	0
Mincnt*	Number of minority parties within an application.	Section II
Minpcto*	Percent of applying organization owned by minorities.	Section II
Minpctb*	Percent of minority parties in an application.	Race and Gender
Minmajo (Dummy)	Application more than 50% minority owned	Calculated
Motions	Number of motions filed.	Attorney Information
Netimb	Interaction term for net worth and applications with at least one minority party.	
Otherown	Count of number of stations in which any party has an ownership interest.	Legal Information
pop2	Reported population served by a specified signal strength.	Technical Information (page 11)
Populati	1989 census population of community served. The populations figures KPMG used in connection with the data for utilization rates were taken from the Census product SU-98-3 "Population Estimates for Cities with Populations of 10,000 and Greater (Sorted Within State by 1998 Population Size): July 1, 1998 (includes revised April 1, 1990 census population counts)." These data were merged with the data collected by KPMG using the "Primary Community Served"	

	field in the KPMG data and the "Place Name" field in the	
	Census data. Applications proposing service to a "Principle	
	Community" not included in the above Census file above was	
	given the population value of 10,000.	
	Measure of Local Residency: (Years of local	Section II
	residency) x (10 if full time or 5 if part time)	
	(summed across all parties to application)	
Settlpay	Total amount paid in settlement agreements	Settlement Information
	to other applicants in comparative hearing.	(page 10)
Settlrec	Total amount received in settlement	Settlement Information
	agreements by other applicants in	(page 10)
	comparative hearing.	
Squaremi	Geographic area covered by specified signal	Technical Information
	strength.	(page 11)
Totcons	Measure of total construction costs.	fq9, fq1, fq2, fq3, fq4,
	Generally reported in variable fq11. When not	fq5, fq6, fq8, timefram,
	available, this number is calculated as a	fq7a, fq7b, fq7c, fq7d,
	combination of itemized costs listed on	fq10, year
'	application (for constituent variables, see next	
	cell to right). Deflated by CPI in regressions.	
Totdebt	Measure of total debt of applicant. This	fq17, fq25, year, and
	variable factors in all reported debt necessary	debt89
	to fund the projected construction cost.	
	Deflated by CPI in regressions.	
Totfunds	Measure of total funds available. This	timefram, fq10, fq11,
	variable is generally reported as "net total	fq14, fq15, fq16, fq17,
	available" on the application. When not	fq18, fq19, fq20, fq21,
	available, this number is calculated as a	fq22, fq23, fq24, fq25,
	combination of the itemized list of fund	fq26, apassets, apliabil,
	sources on the application (for a list of	fq13
	constituent variables, see next cell to right).	
	Deflated by CPI in regressions.	

^{*}Note that minorities were oversampled for comparative hearings

Page 54

Summary statistics describing applicants involved in comparative hearings.

W.C. C. C.			
Apassets	92	1,144,388	3,249,754
Apeqcap	79	1,078,993	2,162,031
Apequity	52	503,484	1,088,195
Apliabil	63	312,902	550,587
Attnyexp	203	3.5	3.2
Corp	203	.58	.49
Cntpty	203	4.2	4.4
ExperM	203	76	130
Femcnt	203	1.2	1.5
Fempctb	203	0.31	0.31
Fempcto	203	0.29	0.36
Femown	203	29.0	35.8
Fq12	203	162,334	407,885
Height	202	1,034	1,480
Houseinc	196	25,633	7,650
IntegM	203	12.7	17.6
Mincnt	203	0.81	1.78
Minown	203	18.4	34.1
Minpetb	203	0.17	0.32
Minpeto	203	0.18	0.34
Motions	200	13.4	18.2
Otherown	203	1.8	2.9
Owner	203	100	0
Pop2	172	203,571	357,226
Populati	203	54,850	88,130
ResidM	203	63.04	150.07
Settlpay	118	20,320	50,528
Settlrec	105	28,912	51,630
Squaremi	143	1,608	2,266
Totcons	194	599,449	950,586
Totdebt	158	704,266	1,104,457
Totfunds	191	705,234	1,163,197

Summary statistics describing singleton applications

corp	66	.42	.49
cntpty	66	2.4	1.7
experM	66	69	111
femont	66	0.7	0.8
fempctb	66	0.30	0.37
fempcto	66	0.25	0.37
femown	66	25.2	37.2
fq12	66	3,256	18,504
height	66	348	373
houseinc	59	25,474	11,040
integM	66	5.6	7.0
mincnt	66	0.09	0.28
minown	66	4.7	16.5
minpctb	66	0.046	0.16
minpcto	66	0.047	0.17
motions	65	0.58	3.32
otherown	66	1.2	1.7
owner	66	100	0
pop2	60	75,594	116,264
populati	66	17,328	40,199
residM	66	65.36	134.19
squaremi	60	1,816	1,820
totcons	59	127,571	92,339
totdebt	29		105,633
totfunds	60	162,925	106,328

Annual CPI Used to Deflate Various Dollar Denominated Variables for Regression Analyses

Denominated variable	S IOI Reglession Analyses
Year	CPI
1975	.55
1976	.582
1977	.621
1978	.677
1979	.767
1980	.863
1981	.94
1982	.976
1983	1.013
1984	1.053
1985	1.093
1986	1.105
1987	1.154
1988	1.205
1989	1.261
1990	1.338
1991	1.379
1992	1.419

Source: BEA NIPA data

APPENDIX III

Description of Weighting Method for Unconditional Logit and Singleton Models

This is a description of the design and the implementation of the weighting scheme applied in the unconditional logit and singleton versus comparative hearing regression models.

Since the minority participation in comparative hearings is generally low, stratification sampling by singleton status and race ensures a minimum number of minority applications in each singleton status category in the sample. Depending on the context of the analysis, the stratification sampling scheme could have an influence on the regression estimates. To account for this influence, a weight equal to the sample-population ratio is assigned to each observation prior to the analyses.

Since we sampled all the singleton applications, no distortion was introduced by stratification sampling. Therefore, the assigned weights are equal across minority and non-minority applications.

However, not all of the 230 comparative hearing applications were included in the sample. Stratification sampling by singleton category and minority status introduces a bias to the regression estimates. We drew the sample by oversampling hearings where we knew that a minority was present within at least 1 application. In the comparative hearing sub-population, the ratio of non-minority to minority applications is about 14 to 1. However, given the distribution of the comparative hearing sample, the same ratio is about 8 to 1, i.e., the sample minority application ratio is 1.75 times larger than the true population ratio. To account for this distortion due to stratification sampling, we down weighted the influence of minority applications by a discount factor of 1/1.75, or by 56%. The weights assigned achieve the desired ratio.

The following tables summarize the computation of the weight.

Table III.1. Minority Application if at least one minority applicant

Singleton Status	Minority Status (3)	No. of Applications in Population	No. of Applications in Sample	Assigned Weights
Singleton =1	Minority Applications	6	6	1
	Non-Minority Applications	58	58	1
Comparative Hearing =0	Minority Applications	122	60	122/60
	Non-Minority Applications	618	141	618/141